



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,835	12/12/2001	Ernic F. Brickell	884.437US1	9613
21186	7590	05/03/2007	EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			SHERR, CRISTINA O	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		3621		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		05/03/2007		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/017,835	BRICKELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cristina Owen Sherr	3621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 February 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,3,5,27,30,36-39,44,47 and 48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,3,5,27,30,36-39,44,47 and 48 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed February 6, 2007. Claims 1, 3, 5, 27, 30, 36-39, 44, 47, and 48 are currently pending in this case.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1, 3, 5, 27, 30, 36-39, 44, 47, and in the reply filed on February 6, 2007 is acknowledged. Claims 4, 6-22, 24—25, 29-35, 40-43, and 53-55 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on February 6, 2007.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5, 27, 30, 36-39, 44, 47, and 48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 3, 5, 27, 30, 36-39, 44, 47, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misra et al (US 5,999,711).

6. Regarding claims 1, 27, 30, 44, and 48 –

Misra discloses a method of providing an authentication service (e.g. abs; col 1 ln 64-col 2 ln 7), comprising: with an authentication server, relating a user identity to a set of

Art Unit: 3621

authentication mechanisms, the user identity belonging to a user (e.g. col 1 ln 64-col 2 ln 7) ; relating a type of transaction with a relying party to a level of authentication, the relying party reliant on the authentication service to authenticate the user before user access is provided to its service, program or information (e.g. col 2 ln 61-col 3 ln 9); the user or relying party selecting at least two authentication mechanisms to input from the set of authentication mechanisms according to the level of authentication associated with the type of transaction, wherein a flexible authentication process is provided (e.g. col 2 ln 61-col 3 ln 9); and authenticating the user identity wherein the user is granted or denied access to the service, program or information provided by the relying party (e.g. col 3 ln 6-9).

7. Misra does not disclose, but Saito does, authenticating the user identity through the at least two authentication mechanisms, (e.g. col 10 , ln 45-59). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Misra and Saito, firstly in order to obtain greater security, and secondly given that the user in Misra may choose whether or not to authenticate at all, it makes sense to extend that choice to include which authentication to use.

8. Regarding claim 3, 36-39 –

Saito discloses monitoring a series of authentications for the relying party to detect fraud. (e.g. col 14 ln 43-57).

9. As above, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Misra and Saito, firstly in order to obtain greater security, and secondly

Art Unit: 3621

given that the user in Misra may choose whether or not to authenticate at all, it makes sense to extend that choice to include which authentication to use.

10. Regarding claim 5 –

Misra discloses limitation of performing the method above using a portable computer (portable computer =mobile input device) (E.g. col 2 ln 30-45).

11. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on 571-272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3621

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Cristina Owen Sherr
Patent Examiner, AU 3621



Blake L. Lewis,
PRIMARY EXAMINER